UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SELECT DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. 23 - my - 71859
Plaintiff, v. Anael Reyes) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
101102 -300)
Defendant(s).)
continuance outweigh the best interest of the p	and finds that the ends of justice served by the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance v See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. (i).
defendants, the nature of or law, that it is unreasonable to	mplex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of f the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact o expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial ablished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, e of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (iv).
	would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time tion, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (iv).
disposition of criminal cases, the paragraph and — based on the the time limits for a preliminary extending the 30-day time period	ant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt he court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending y hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for od for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the e Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: 1/22/2024	Lu /
	Lisa J. Cisneros
	United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: C. WEG	L Wines
Attorney for Defende	ht Assistant United States Attorney